

DEBRA K. DAVENPORT, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL WILLIAM THOMSON DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL

AUDITOR GENERAL
December 28, 2005

The Honorable Robert Blendu, Chair Joint Legislative Audit Committee

The Honorable Laura Knaperek, Vice Chair Joint Legislative Audit Committee

Dear Senator Blendu and Representative Knaperek:

Our Office has recently completed a 12-month followup of the Arizona Department of Transportation—Motor Vehicle Division—State Revenue Collection Functions regarding the implementation status of the 23 audit recommendations (including sub-parts of the recommendations) presented in the performance audit report released in September 2004 (Auditor General Report No. 04-09). As the attached grid indicates:

- 8 have been implemented; and
- 15 are in the process of being implemented.

Our Office will continue to follow up at 6-month intervals with the Department on the status of those recommendations that have not yet been fully implemented.

Sincerely,

Debbie Davenport Auditor General

DD:Acm Attachment

cc: Victor Mendez, Director

Arizona Department of Transportation

Motor Vehicle Division—State Revenue Collection Functions 12-Month Follow-Up Report To Auditor General Report No. 04-09

FINDING 1: MVD can improve the cost-effectiveness of its vehicle registration compliance program

	Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
1.	MVD should develop an additional software application to send delinquency letters to Arizona residents who do not renew their vehicle registrations.	Implemented at 12 Months	
2.	MVD should ensure that revenues from its vehicle registration enforcement efforts are correctly reported by addressing the programming errors that allow revenues to be erroneously attributed to cases.	Implemented at 6 Months	
3.	MVD management should either modify its Registration Compliance System to track the enforcement activity for each case or periodically conduct valid studies of registration enforcement cases so that management can determine the cost-effectiveness of the various case types and enforcement methods and direct officer activities to those cases and methods.	Implementation in Process	
4.	MVD should discontinue using the indirect revenue measure as part of its calculation of the return on investment of its vehicle registration enforcement program and as a basis for remitting a portion of this revenue to the General Fund.	Implementation in Process	

Motor Vehicle Division—State Revenue Collection Functions 12-Month Follow-Up Report To Auditor General Report No. 04-09

FINDING 2: MVD should improve fuel tax refunds processing

	Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
1.	MVD should update its current procedures and implement additional, written procedures that document the steps and requirements for processing, validating, reviewing, and issuing fuel tax refund claims.	Implementation in Process	
2.	Once it has updated its procedures and implemented additional procedures, MVD should ensure that all staff involved in the processing and review of fuel tax refund claims are trained on the procedures.	Implementation in Process	
3.	To help ensure appropriate oversight and monitoring of the refunds process, MVD should establish and implement procedures requiring:		
	a. Two levels of refund approval based on the refund amount;	Implementation in Process	
	b. Supervisory review of the accuracy and validity of all refunds before they are issued and requiring that questionable refund claims be referred to its Tax Evasion Unit for review; and	Implementation in Process	
	c. Independent audits by ADOT's Office of Audit and Analysis of a random sample of refund claims annually based on a systematic audit plan.	Implemented at 12 Months	

Motor Vehicle Division—State Revenue Collection Functions 12-Month Follow-Up Report To Auditor General Report No. 04-09

FINDING 2: MVD should improve fuel tax refunds processing (concl'd)

	Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
4.	MVD should strengthen the requirements that stipulate the documentation taxpayers must maintain to support refund claims and the documentation taxpayers must submit with their refund claims.	Implementation in Process	
5.	MVD should develop more comprehensive forms and instructions for taxpayer claims to assist taxpayers in the filing of claims and help ensure that MVD has all required information to process and verify refund claims.	Implementation in Process	

Motor Vehicle Division—State Revenue Collection Functions 12-Month Follow-Up Report To Auditor General Report No. 04-09

FINDING 3: MVD should examine its fees

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
1. MVD should continue with its recently initiated process to evaluate its fees. MVD's newly formed working group should use a systematic approach that includes the following steps:		
 a. Identify fees that are not cost-effective to collect or that are no longer needed; 	Implementation in Process	
b. Evaluate fees that represent a significant amount of revenue or a relatively large number of transactions;	Implementation in Process	
c. Perform a fee-by-fee analysis of the selected fees using a structured approach for determining the costs of the services; and	Implementation in Process	
d. Recommend appropriate fee changes to the Legislature.	Implementation in Process	
2. For those fees that the working group analyzes, MVD should collect the necessary cost, revenue, and transaction data and information on the actual cost of services provided and associated fees charged.	Implementation in Process	

Motor Vehicle Division—State Revenue Collection Functions 12-Month Follow-Up Report To Auditor General Report No. 04-09

FINDING 4: MVD should better protect registration renewal fees

	Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
	IVD should establish and maintain the following processes and proceures to better safeguard registration renewal monies:		
a	Store registration renewal payments in an adequate physical facility, such as a safe or locking storage cabinet, and limit access to necessary employees;	Implemented at 12 Months	
b	Limit mailroom access to necessary employees;	Implemented at 12 Months	
C.	Immediately endorse all checks and money orders upon receipt by processing registration renewals the same day they are received, or generate a record of money received, such as a receipt, to accompany registration renewal documentation during processing;	Substantially Implemented at 12 Months ¹	
d	Create and use a daily mailroom log that documents the number of envelopes for each bundle of mail;	Implemented at 12 Months	
e.	Create and use a batch worksheet that tracks the distribution of mail before and during processing;	Implemented at 12 Months	
f.	Develop and implement policies and procedures for handling cash and cash-like receipts that incorporate the recommended changes made in this report and define employee responsibilities for each step in the cash-handling process; and	Implementation in Process	
g	Periodically request a procedural review from ADOT's Office of Audit and Analysis to ensure it establishes and adheres to appropriate cash-handling policies and procedures.	Implementation in Process	

¹ MVD has substantially implemented this recommendation by processing registration renewals in an average of 2-3 days.

Motor Vehicle Division—State Revenue Collection Functions 12-Month Follow-Up Report To Auditor General Report No. 04-09